NOTES AND QUESTIONS FOR PERFECT PAIRINGS

DAN YASAKI

ABSTRACT. Notes and questions about perfect pairings. This arose in the context of a summer reading course from Stein's [1].

Let R be field, and let M, N, and L be vector spaces over R. (We will usually consider $R = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \text{ or } \mathbb{F}_p$. Our vector spaces will usually be finite-dimensional.) Many of the things below are true even when R is a ring in the context of R-modules.

Exercise 1. $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, R)$ is the space of linear functionals on M. It is often denoted M^* , and called the *dual space of* M. More generally, let $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N)$ denote the set of R-linear maps from M to N. Prove $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is a vector space. Assume that M and N are finite dimensional. Compute the dimension of $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N)$.

Definition 1. A *R*-bilinear map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \colon M \times N \to L$ is called a *pairing*.

Exercise 2. A good example to keep in mind is the pairing between M^* and M. Specifically, define $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \colon M^* \times M \to R$ by $\langle f, m \rangle = f(m)$. Prove that this is in fact a pairing.

Exercise 3. Suppose $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \colon M \times N \to L$ is a pairing. We can view $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ as a *R*-linear map $\Phi_1 \colon M \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(N, L)$. We can also view $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ as a *R*-linear map $\Phi_2 \colon N \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, L)$. Explain. (Hint for Φ_1 : Given $m \in M$, what is the most natural way to get a map from N to L using what is given?)

Definition 2. A pairing is *non-degenerate* if whenever $\langle m, n \rangle = 0$ for all $n \in N$, then m = 0.

Exercise 4. Explain non-degeneracy in terms of Φ_1 or Φ_2 .

Definition 3. A pairing is *perfect* if Φ_1 is an isomorphism.

Exercise 5. If $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a perfect pairing of finite-dimensional vectors spaces, is Φ_2 is an isomorphism?

Exercise 6. Is every perfect pairing non-degenerate? Explain.

Exercise 7. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the usual inner product on \mathbb{R}^n . Prove that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a non-degenerate, perfect pairing.

Exercise 8. For each pair of vectors u and v in \mathbb{R}^2 , define $\langle u, v \rangle$ to be the determinant of the matrix with columns u and v. Prove $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a pairing. Is it nondegenerate? Is it perfect?

Exercise 9. For each $A \in Mat_n(R)$ and each $v \in R^n$, define $\langle A, v \rangle = Av$. Is this a pairing? Is it nondegenerate? Is it perfect?

Exercise 10. For each $f, g \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, define

$$\langle f,g\rangle = \int_0^1 f(x)g(x) \, dx.$$

Is this a pairing?

Exercise 11. For each $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and each closed interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, define

$$\langle f, [a,b] \rangle = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx.$$

Is this a pairing? Before answering that, think carefully about what you would need to show. What is M, N, and L in this case?

References

[1] W. Stein, *Modular forms, a computational approach*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 79, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007, With an appendix by Paul E. Gunnells.

DAN YASAKI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAR-OLINA AT GREENSBORO, GREENSBORO, NC 27412, USA

E-mail address: d_yasaki@uncg.edu *URL*: http://www.uncg.edu/~d_yasaki/