

# Cryptographic Applications of Hyperelliptic Function Fields

Michael J. Jacobson, Jr.

[jacobs@cpsc.ucalgary.ca](mailto:jacobs@cpsc.ucalgary.ca)



UNIVERSITY OF  
CALGARY

UNCG Summer School in Computational Number Theory 2016:  
Function Fields

# Cryptography in Hyperelliptic Function Fields

**Public-key cryptography:** secret key exchange and digital signatures

Many widely-used protocols use arithmetic in a finite cyclic group  $G$  that should satisfy:

- efficient arithmetic (eg. non-adjacent form for exponentiation)
- discrete logarithm problem seems difficult

We have seen that  $G = C^{l^0}(F)$  can be a good candidate (especially genus 1 and 2)

- efficient arithmetic (eg. non-adjacent form for exponentiation)
- discrete logarithm problem seems difficult

# Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

Public system information: generator  $P$  of  $G$  of prime order  $n$

- A computes  $aP$  ( $a$  random in  $[1, n - 1]$ ) and sends to B
- B computes  $bP$  ( $b$  random in  $[1, n - 1]$ ) and sends to A
- A and B compute  $K = a(bP) = b(aP) = abP$

Adversary's goal: find the secret key  $K$  given  $P, aP, bP$

- Equivalent to Diffie-Hellman problem
- DLP in  $G$  must be hard (necessary, not known whether this is sufficient)

## Real World Security (2 Examples)

When using elliptic curves, group elements must be verified as being on the given curve:

- Arithmetic on  $E : y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$  does *not* require the use of  $B$
- Malicious participant in Diffie-Hellman protocol can send a point  $P'$  with *small order* on an elliptic curve  $E : y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B'$ .
- Partner's secret scalar can be computed modulo the order of  $P'$  exhaustively

$aP$  (respectively  $bP$ ) must be authenticated as coming from  $A$  (respectively  $B$ )

- otherwise, man-in-the-middle attack (intercept message, replace with attacker's own) completely breaks this

# Digital Signatures

**Digital signature:** a means by which the recipient of a message can authenticate the identity of the sender. It should have two properties:

- 1 Only the sender can produce his signature.
- 2 *Anyone*, including an arbitrator, should be easily able to verify the validity of the signature.

Important application of public-key cryptography:

- User generates a pair of keys, one public (known to everyone) and one private
- Use private key to generate signatures (only user can do this!)
- Use public key to verify signatures (anyone can do this!)

One example: Digital Signature Algorithm (DSA)

# DSA Signature Generation

Public information:

- generator  $P$  of elliptic curve  $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ,  $n = |E(\mathbb{F}_q)|$
- public cryptographic hash function  $H$  (hashes messages to  $[1, \dots, n - 1]$ )

Signer's input: private key  $d \in [1, n - 1]$ , message  $m$

- 1 Select  $k \in [1, n - 1]$  at random.
- 2 Compute  $kP = (x_1, y_1)$  and convert  $x_1$  to an integer  $\bar{x}_1$ .
- 3 Compute  $r = \bar{x}_1 \bmod n$ . If  $r = 0$  go to Step 1.
- 4 Compute  $e = H(m)$ .
- 5 Compute  $s = k^{-1}(e + dr) \bmod n$ . If  $s = 0$  then go to Step 1.
- 6 Return signature  $(r, s)$ .

# DSA Signature Verification

Verifier's input: public key  $Q = dP$ , signature  $(r, s)$

- 1 Verify that  $r$  and  $s$  are integers in the interval  $[1, n - 1]$ . If any verification fails return "reject."
- 2 Compute  $e = H(m)$ .
- 3 Compute  $w = s^{-1} \bmod n$ .
- 4 Compute  $u_1 = ew \bmod n$  and  $u_2 = rw \bmod n$ .
- 5 Compute  $X = u_1P + u_2Q$ .
- 6 If  $X = \infty$  return "reject."
- 7 Convert the  $x$ -coordinate  $x_1$  of  $X$  to an integer  $\bar{x}_1$ ; compute  $v = \bar{x}_1 \bmod n$ .
- 8 If  $v = r$  return "accept," otherwise return "reject."

# Why This Works

Idea of verification: should have  $X = kP$  if the signature is valid.

A legitimate signature has  $s \equiv k^{-1}(e + dr) \pmod{n}$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}k &\equiv s^{-1}(e + dr) \pmod{n} \\ &\equiv s^{-1}e + s^{-1}dr \pmod{n} \\ &\equiv we + wrd \pmod{n} \\ &\equiv u_1 + u_2d \pmod{n}\end{aligned}$$

and thus  $X = u_1P + u_2Q = (u_1 + u_2d)P = kP$ .



# Security of ECDSA

Adversary should not be able to forge a valid signature for any message.

Necessary (not sufficient!) conditions:

- 1 intractability of ECDLP,
- 2 secure hash function

Other issues:

- 1  $k$  must be unpredictable (can recover private key if  $k$  is known)
- 2  $k$  must never be re-used (can recover private key otherwise)

# Bilinear Pairings

Recall Tate-Lichtenbaum pairing: let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $E$  has a point of order  $n$  and  $n \mid q - 1$ . There exists an efficiently-computatable pairing

$$\tau_n : E(\mathbb{F}_q)[n] \times E(\mathbb{F}_q)/nE(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \mu_n \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$$

Properties:

- bilinear, i.e.,  $\tau_n(aP, bQ) = \tau_n(P, Q)^{ab}$
- $\tau_n(P, P) = \zeta_n \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$  (primitive  $n$ th root of unity)

Many uses in cryptographic protocols. Examples:

- Boneh/Franklin (2001): ID-based cryptography
- Boneh/Lynn/Shacham (2004): short signatures
- *many* others

## E.g. Tripartite Key Exchange

Joux (2000): three participants can obtain a shared secret key in just one round of communication

Public system information: generator  $P$  of  $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$  of prime order  $n$

- Participants A, B, and C each choose random integers  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  coprime to  $n$  and respectively compute and broadcast  $P_A = aP$ ,  $P_b = bP$ , and  $P_C = cP$ .
- A computes key as
$$k = \tau_n(P_b, P_c)^a = \tau_n(bP, cP)^a = \tau_n(P, P)^{abc} = \zeta_n^{abc}$$
- B computes  $k = \tau_n(P_a, P_c)^b$
- C computes  $k = \tau_n(P_a, P_b)^c$

# Security

Need the DLP to be hard in both  $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$

Recent years have seen many advances in solving the DLP in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$

- Joux/Granger/Kleinjung/Zumbrägel (2014): quasi-polynomial time for small characteristic
- Kim/Barbelescu (2016): advances for  $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ ,  $p$  a large prime

Consequences:

- Can't use characteristic 2
- Security of commonly-used curves in odd characteristic is being reassessed

May need new curves over bigger fields, and even faster curve/pairing arithmetic to compensate - on-going research!

# Finding Cryptographically-Suitable Function Fields

For DSA, the order of  $G$  is required (also for Diffie-Hellman, to check security properties)

Two approaches:

- 1 Generate random function fields, compute (and test) class number.
- 2 Construct function fields with a given class number

# Class Number Computation

Very efficient for elliptic curves (point counting):

- $p$ -adic methods (odd char: Satoh 2000, char 2: AGM, Mestre 2000)
- SEA algorithm (Schoof 1985, Atkin/Elkies 1990's) — polynomial-time

Good computation results for special types of more general curves (some hyperelliptic, Picard, radical cubic, superelliptic, ...)

Hard in general, especially for odd characteristic (even genus 2!)

# Class Number Computation: Higher Genus

**Small characteristic** (i.e.  $p$ -adic) methods based on Satoh & Mestre

- Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology (Kedlaya 2001)
- Deformation theory (Lauder 2004, 2006)
- Canonical lifts (Satoh 2003)

Some adaptations to medium and larger characteristic

**Large characteristic** methods based on SEA

- Pila 1990, Couveignes 1996, Adleman/Huang 2001

**Generic algorithms** (baby step giant step, Pollard kangaroo, using Euler products)

**Index calculus methods** — compute the class *group* as well

# Elliptic Curve Constructions over $\mathbb{F}_q$

## Curves with prescribed group order over finite fields (Bröker 2007)

- heavily use theory of *complex multiplication*

## Pairing-friendly curves (low embedding degree)

- Supersingular curves
- Constructions for ordinary curves, eg. Barreto/Naehrig (2005), Miyaji/Nakabayashi/Takano (2001)

## Curves with many rational points — $\mathbb{F}_q$ setting useful for coding theory



# Rational points on a given elliptic curve in a number field

## Theorem (Mordell's and Mazur's Theorems)

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with group of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rational points  $E(\mathbb{Q})$ .

- $E(\mathbb{Q})$  is finitely generated (Mordell 1922)
- The torsion of  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  with  $1 \leq n \leq 10$  or  $n = 12$ , or  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z}$  with  $1 \leq n \leq 4$  (Mazur 1977)

Problems:

- Find **curves of a given (large?) rank** (Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture, Elkies 2006 — rank 28)
- Find **curves with prescribed torsion**

# Other Constructions

**Hyperelliptic function fields with class groups of large  $\ell$ -rank** (Bauer et al. 2008, Berger et al. 2011, Jacobson et al. 2014, S. Stein 2014)

**All degree  $n$  function fields of a given Galois group  $G$  and discriminant divisor  $D$**  ( $n = p$ ,  $G = D_p$ : Weir et al. 2013;  $n = 3$ ,  $D$  square-free: Jacobson et al. 2014)

**Function field tabulation** ( $n = 3$ ,  $D$  square-free: Rozenhart et al. 2008, 2009, 2012;  $n = p$ ,  $G = D_p$ : Weir et al. 2013)

# Conclusion

Have now seen a glimpse of some theory, algorithms, applications (crypto) and on-going research in:

- efficient ideal/divisor arithmetic
- different curve models
- discrete logarithm computation
- invariant / class number computation
- constructive methods

Plenty more out there (e.g. isogeny and endomorphism ring computation, cryptography with isogenies, applying isogenies to map DLP to weak curve)

- Lots of open and interesting computational problems!
- Lots of work to be done!