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11. The factpat problem. Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a monic polynomial. For every prime $p$, one can reduce it to $f_{p}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ and factor it into irreducibles. Let $\lambda_{p}$ be the object capturing degrees and multiplicities as in the example of $f(x)=x^{7}-7 x-3:$

| $p$ | $f_{p}(x)$ | $\lambda_{p}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $x^{7}+x+1$ | 7 |
| 3 | $(x+1)^{3}(x+2)^{3} x$ | $1^{3} 1^{3} 1$ |
| 5 | $x^{7}+3 x+2$ | 7 |
| 7 | $(x+4)^{7}$ | $1^{7}$ |
| 11 | $x^{7}+4 x+8$ | 7 |
| 13 | (quart) $\left(x^{2}+12 x+2\right)(x+2)$ | 421 |
| 17 | 331 |  |
| 19 | 331 |  |
| 23 | 331 |  |
| 29 | 7 |  |

A natural and very large question is the "factpat" problem: what can be said about the sequence $\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{5} \ldots$ in general? The central role in the ongoing effort to respond to this question is played by number fields.
2. Polynomial Discriminants. We say that a factor is bad if the multiplicities are greater than 1 , as in $1^{3} 1^{3} 1$ or $1^{7}$. We say it is good otherwise, in which case the symbol $\lambda_{p}$ is just a partition of the degree $n$.

The distinction bad vs. good can easily understood via the polynomial discriminant of $f(x)$ defined via its complex roots $\alpha_{i}$ as

$$
D_{f}=\prod_{i<j}\left(\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{j}\right)^{2} \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

As an example, $D_{x^{7}-7 x-3}=3^{8} 7^{8}$.

In general,

$$
p \text { is bad } \Longleftrightarrow p \mid D_{f} .
$$

Henceforth we restrict attention to separable $f$, meaning $f$ with distinct roots, or equivalently $f$ with $D_{f} \neq 0$. Then there are only finitely many bad primes.
3. Global factorizations. Suppose $f(x) \in$ $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ factors into irreducibles as $\Pi f_{i}(x)$. Then for all primes there are induced factorizations $f(x)=\prod_{i} f_{i, p}(x)$. At a good prime $p$ there is a corresponding factorization $\lambda_{p}=\Pi \lambda_{i, p}$. For example, the bad primes for

$$
f(x)=x^{5}+3 x^{3}+2 x^{2}+6
$$

are 2, 3, and 31. The factor partitions for the first 100 good primes have the following statistics

| $\lambda$ |  |  | $\#$ | $\lambda_{1}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $\lambda_{2}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 1 | 51 | 21 |
|  | 1 |  | 32 | 3 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 14 |

Only three of the seven partitions of five have arisen in the $\lambda$ column. This behavior is in part trivially explained by the factorization

$$
f(x)=\left(x^{3}+2\right)\left(x^{2}+3\right)
$$

Because of this simple phenomenon, one focuses mainly on irreducible $f$.
4. Generic factorization statistics. A key insight into the factpat problem concerns generic degree $n$ polynomials $f(x)$. Here the frequency that a partition $\lambda$ arises as $\lambda_{p}$ is asymptotically the same as the frequency it arises as the cycle structure $\lambda_{g}$ of $g \in S_{n}$. Examples with $n=7$ and the first $7!=5040$ primes:
\# of $g \quad \#$ of $p$ for \# of $p$ for

| $\lambda$ | in $S_{7}$ | $x^{7}-7 x-4$ | $x^{7}-7 x-3$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7 | 720 | 749 | 1448 |
| 43 | 420 | 423 |  |
| 52 | 504 | 499 |  |
| 61 | 840 | 865 |  |
| 322 | 210 | 174 |  |
| 331 | 280 | 261 | 1687 |
| 421 | 630 | 659 | 1271 |
| 511 | 504 | 501 |  |
| 2221 | 105 | 104 |  |
| 3211 | 420 | 389 |  |
| 4111 | 210 | 214 |  |
| 22111 | 105 | 116 | 604 |
| 31111 | 70 | 67 |  |
| 211111 | 21 | 14 |  |
| 1111111 | 1 | 1 | 28 |

5. Resolvents confirming non-genericity. The non-generic behavior of $x^{7}-7 x-3$ is explained by the factorization of a resolvent built from its roots:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(x) & =\prod_{i<j<k}\left(x-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}+\alpha_{k}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(x^{7}-14 x^{4}+42 x^{2}-21 x-9\right) f_{28}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

In general, any deviation of a degree $n$ polynomial from $S_{n}$ statistics is caused by the nongeneric factorization of some resolvent.

The statistics governing factpats for $x^{7}-7 x-3$ are those coming from the transitive permutation group $G L_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right) \subset S_{7}$ of order 168. Computing with $168,1680,16800$, and 168000 primes gives the following data:

| $\lambda$ | 168 | 1680 | 16800 | 168000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7 | 40 | 47.6 | 48.16 | 48.085 |
| 331 | 58 | 56.3 | 56.14 | 55.956 |
| 421 | 46 | 43.6 | 41.97 | 41.909 |
| 22111 | 22 | 19.4 | 20.78 | 21.085 |
| 1111111 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.93 | 0.963 |

6. Galois groups. The groups $S_{7}$ and $G L_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ appearing on the last two slides are examples of Galois groups. In general, let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ be a separable polynomial with complex roots $\alpha_{1}$, $\ldots, \alpha_{n}$. Let

$$
F_{f}^{\mathrm{gal}}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \subset \mathbb{C}
$$

be its splitting field. Let $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{f}^{\mathrm{gal}} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ be its group of automorphisms. One can think of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{f}^{\mathrm{gal}} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ as the group of permutations of the roots which preserve all algebraic relations.

The Chebotarev density theorem says that good factorization patterns are asymptotically distributed according to the cycle types of elements in $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{f}^{\mathrm{gal}} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$. This works for reducible polynomials as well. For example, the statistics of the factorization of $\left(x^{3}+2\right)\left(x^{2}+3\right)$ are governed by its six-element Galois group $S_{3} \times S_{2} \cap A_{5}$.
7. Number fields. A fundamental phenomenon not discussed so far is that two different polynomials can have the same factorization patterns at their common good primes for completely trivial reasons.

To work more intrinsically, we focus not on the given separable polynomial $f(x)$, but rather on its associated number algebra

$$
F=\mathbb{Q}[x] / f(x)
$$

The good factorization patterns of $f(x)$ are invariants of $F$.

The factorization $f(x)=\Pi f_{i}(x)$ into irreducibles induces a factorization $F=\Pi F_{i}$ into number fields, where $F_{i}=\mathbb{Q}[x] / f_{i}(x)$.

The set of roots of $f(x)$ canonifies into the set $\operatorname{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})$ of homomorphisms from $F$ into $\mathbb{C}$. Thus $F_{f}^{\text {gal }}$ depends only on $F$ and and can be denoted $F^{\text {gal }}$. When $F$ is a field, all the homomorphisms are embeddings. At the other extreme, for $F=\mathbb{Q}^{n}$, one has $F^{\text {gal }}=\mathbb{Q}$.
8. Field discriminants In the shift of focus from polynomials $f$ to algebras $F$, the polynomial discriminant $D_{f}$ is lost. An ideal substitute is the field discriminant $d_{F}$ as follows.

An element $k$ in a number algebra $F$ has a minimal polynomial $f_{k}(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, namely the unique monic polynomial of smallest degree with $f_{k}(k)=0$. In fact, as $k$ runs over generators of $F$, the minimal polynomials run over defining polynomials of $F$.

The element $k$ in a number algebra $F$ is called integral if its minimal polynomial $f_{k}(x)$ is in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. The set of integral elements form a subring $\mathcal{O}$ of $F$. For any algebraic integer $k$ generating $F$, the index $c_{f}=[\mathcal{O}: \mathbb{Z}[k]]$ is finite. The quantity

$$
d_{F}=D_{f} / c_{f}^{2}
$$

is independent of $f$ and is the field discriminant of $F$. One source of its importance is $\mathcal{O}$ sits as a lattice inside $F_{\infty}=\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{R}$, and $\sqrt{\left|d_{F}\right|}$ is the volume of the quotient torus $F_{\infty} / \mathcal{O}$.
9. Local invariants. We can now be more sophisticated about the factpats $\lambda_{p}$. Let $F$ be a number algebra, typically a number field in practice. Let $v \in\{\infty, 2,3,5, \ldots\}$ be a place of $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $F_{v}=F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q} v$ be its $v$-adic completion.

For $v=\infty$, one necessarily has $F_{v}=\mathbb{R}^{r} \times$ $\mathbb{C}^{s}$ with $r+2 s=n$. One can define $\lambda_{\infty}=$ $2 \ldots 21 \ldots 1$ in analogy with other $\lambda_{p}$.

For $p \nmid d$, the algebra $F_{p}$ is unramified. If $\lambda_{p}=$ $f_{1} \cdots f_{k}$ then $F_{p} \cong \mathbb{Q}_{p} f_{1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Q}_{p} f_{k}$ with $\mathbb{Q}_{p f}$ the unramified degree $f$ extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$.

For $p \mid D$, the situation is more complicated. But still $F_{p}$ factors into fields and each field has a residual degree $f$, a ramification index $e$, and a local discriminant $c$. We use the $f_{c}^{e}$ to redefine $\lambda_{p}$, so that for the Trinks field one now has $\lambda_{3}=1_{3}^{3} 1_{3}^{3} 1$ and $\lambda_{7}=1{ }_{8}^{7}$.
10. The factpat problem revisited. With the slightly modified $\lambda_{v}$, the factpat problem now is now asking for a classification of number fields (up to arithmetic equivalence rather than isomorphism, with e.g. $\mathbb{Q}[x] /\left(x^{7}-7 x-3\right)$ and its dual $\mathbb{Q}[x] /\left(x^{7}-14 x^{4}+42 x^{2}-21 x-9\right)$ being non-isomorphic but having the same factpats).

Focusing on the main invariants $d$ and $G$ only, one can ask for the set $N F(d, G)$ of all number fields with discriminant $d$ and Galois group $G \subseteq S_{n}$. 1) These are finite sets. 2) They can be effectively tabulated for $n$ small via computer searches. 3) They can be effectively tabulated for $G$ solvable and of moderate size, via class field theory. 4) They can be pursued for say $G \subseteq P G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell f}\right)$ via automorphic forms. 5) Their size for $G$ fixed and $|d|$ increasing is expected to obey simple asymptotics, proved for some $G$. 6) The symbols $\lambda_{v}$ are naturally packaged into a zeta function $\zeta_{F}(s)$, and one expects that all $\lambda_{v}$ can be determined analytically from a sufficiently large initial segment.

