Computation of unit groups and class groups I

#### Computation of unit groups and class groups I

#### Michael E. Pohst

Institut für Mathematik Technische Universität Berlin

June 22, 2013

# Units

Let *F* be an algebraic number field of degree  $n = r_1 + 2r_2$ . A **unit** of an order *R* of *F* is an invertible element  $\varepsilon$  of *R*. The group of units of *R* will be denoted by U(R).

**1.**  $\alpha \in R$  belongs to U(R) precisely if  $N(\alpha) \in U(\mathbb{Z}) = \{\pm 1\}$ . **2.** R contains only a finite number of non-associate elements of bounded norm. (Elements  $\alpha, \beta \in R$  are called **associate** if  $\alpha/\beta$  and  $\beta/\alpha$  belong to R.)

**3.** For any constant C > 0 there exist only finitely many elements  $\alpha \in R$  such that the absolute values of all conjugates of  $\alpha$  are bounded by C.

## Units

Let *F* be an algebraic number field of degree  $n = r_1 + 2r_2$ . A **unit** of an order *R* of *F* is an invertible element  $\varepsilon$  of *R*. The group of units of *R* will be denoted by U(R).

**1.**  $\alpha \in R$  belongs to U(R) precisely if  $N(\alpha) \in U(\mathbb{Z}) = \{\pm 1\}$ . **2.** R contains only a finite number of non-associate elements of bounded norm. (Elements  $\alpha, \beta \in R$  are called **associate** if  $\alpha/\beta$  and  $\beta/\alpha$  belong to R.)

**3.** For any constant C > 0 there exist only finitely many elements  $\alpha \in R$  such that the absolute values of all conjugates of  $\alpha$  are bounded by C.

# **Roots of unity**

An element  $\xi \in R$  is a **root of unity** precisely if all conjugates of  $\xi$  have absolute value 1.

All roots of unity of R form a finite cyclic subgroup which we denote by TU(R), in case of  $R = o_F$  by  $TU_F$ .

A generator of the group TU(R) of order w will be denoted by  $\zeta$  (primitive w-th root of unity).

For imaginary quadratic extensions  $F(2 = n = 2r_2)$  we have U(R) = TU(R).

#### Structure of the unit group

The conjugates of  $x \in F$  are denoted by  $x^{(1)}, ..., x^{(n)}$ . They are ordered in the usual way such that  $x^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r_1$ ,  $x^{(j)} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  for  $r_1 < j \leq n$  subject to  $x^{(r_1+r_2+j)} = x^{(r_1+j)}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r_2$ .

**Theorem** (Dirichlet) The unit group U(R) of R is a direct product of its torsion subgroup TU(R) with  $r = r_1 + r_2 - 1$  infinite cyclic groups:

$$U(R) = TU(R) \times \langle E_1 \rangle \cdots \times \langle E_r \rangle \cong C_w \mathbb{Z}^r$$
.

The generators  $E_1, ..., E_r$  form a system of **fundamental units**.

### Structure of the unit group

The conjugates of  $x \in F$  are denoted by  $x^{(1)}, ..., x^{(n)}$ . They are ordered in the usual way such that  $x^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r_1$ ,  $x^{(j)} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  for  $r_1 < j \leq n$  subject to  $x^{(r_1+r_2+j)} = x^{(r_1+j)}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r_2$ .

**Theorem** (Dirichlet) The unit group U(R) of R is a direct product of its torsion subgroup TU(R) with  $r = r_1 + r_2 - 1$  infinite cyclic groups:

$$U(R) = TU(R) \times \langle E_1 \rangle \cdots \times \langle E_r \rangle \cong C_w \mathbb{Z}^r$$
.

The generators  $E_1, ..., E_r$  form a system of **fundamental units**.

## Regulator

We consider the logarithmic map

$$L : F^{\times} \to \mathbb{R}^r : x \mapsto (c_1 \log |x^{(1)}|, \dots, c_r \log |x^{(r)}|),$$

with constants  $c_j = 1$  for  $1 \le j \le r_1$  and  $c_j = 2$  for  $j > r_1$ .

The image of the unit group L(U(R)) is a lattice of determinant

$$\operatorname{Reg}_{R} := \left| \operatorname{det} \left( \begin{array}{c} L(E_{1}) \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ L(E_{r}) \end{array} \right) \right| =: d(L(U(R))) .$$

 $\operatorname{Reg}_R$  is called the **regulator** of the order R.

In case  $R = o_F$  we write  $\text{Reg}_F$  instead of  $\text{Reg}_R$ .

Units  $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k$  are called **independent**, if a relation

$$\varepsilon_1^{m_1}\cdots \varepsilon_k^{m_k} = 1 \ (m_i \in \mathbb{Z})$$

implies  $m_1 = \ldots = m_k = 0$ . Otherwise they are said to be **dependent**.

**Remark**  $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k$  are independent if and only if  $L(\varepsilon_1), \ldots, L(\varepsilon_k)$  are  $\mathbb{R}$ -linearly independent.

The computation of fundamental units is usually done by calculating a maximal system of independent units which generates a subgroup of U(R) of small index. Then this subgroup is gradually enlarged to all of U(R).

We choose suitable sets of conjugates  $I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_{\mu}\} \subset \{1, \ldots, r_1 + r_2\}.$ By  $\tilde{I}$  we denote the subset of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  containing  $i_1, \ldots, i_{\mu}$  and also all  $i_{\nu} + r_2$  in case  $i_{\nu} > r_1$  belongs to I.

We set

$$\#\tilde{I} = \tilde{\mu}, J = \{1, \ldots, r_1 + r_2\} \setminus I, \tilde{J} = \{1, \ldots, n\} \setminus \tilde{I}.$$

 $\sim$ 

Then we calculate a sequence of elements  $\{\beta_{I,k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}}$  and modules  $M_{I,k}$  with the following properties:

$$\begin{split} \beta_{I,0} &= 1, \ M_{I,0} := R \\ \beta_{I,k+1} \in M_{I,k}, \ M_{I,k+1} := \frac{1}{\beta_{I,k+1}} M_{I,k} \\ |\beta_{I,k+1}^{(j)}| < 1 \ \forall j \in \tilde{I}, \ |\beta_{I,k+1}^{(j)}| \ge 1 \ \forall j \in \tilde{J}, \\ \prod_{i=0}^{k+1} |N(\beta_{I,i})| \le \tilde{C} \\ \text{with a fixed constant } \tilde{C} > 0. \end{split}$$

Next we compute  $\beta_{I,k+1} \in M_{I,k}$ . We choose  $d \ge 1$  sufficiently large, for example  $d \ge 2^{n(n-1)/2} |d(R)|$ . Then we set

$$\lambda_j = d$$
 for  $j \in \tilde{J}, \, \lambda_j = d^{1-n/\tilde{\mu}}$  for  $j \in \tilde{I}$ .

For a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Basis  $\omega_1, ..., \omega_n$  of  $M_{I,k}$  we define a positive definite quadratic form with attached weights:

$$T_{2,\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j^{-2} |\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \omega_i^{(j)}|^2$$

 $\beta_{I,k+1}$  is chosen as first basis element of a basis of  $M_{I,k}$  which is LLL-reduced with respect to  $T_{2,\lambda}$ .

Upon detecting modules  $M_{I,\mu} = M_{I,\nu}$  with indices  $\mu > \nu$  we obtain a unit

$$\varepsilon = \prod_{k=\nu+1}^{\mu} \beta_{I,k}.$$

with

$$|arepsilon^{(j)}| < 1 \; orall j \in ilde{I}$$
 and  $|arepsilon^{(j)}| \geq 1 \; orall j \in ilde{J}$  .

These ideas can be made more efficient by using factor bases and relations, similar to class group computations.

A factor basis is a set  $\mathcal{B}$  of prime ideals of R, say,

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_v\}$$
 .

By **relations** we denote elements  $\alpha_i$  of R (or F) for which the principal ideals  $\alpha_i R$  are power products of the elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ :

$$\alpha_i R = \prod_{j=1}^w \mathbf{p}_j^{\mathbf{a}_{ij}}$$

Hence, a relation is in 1-1-correspondence with an exponent vector  $\mathbf{a}_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{iv})$ . Having found sufficiently many relations

 $\mathbf{a}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{a}_k$ ,

e.g. k > v, we obtain non-trivial linear presentations

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^k m_\mu \mathbf{a}_\mu \;=\; \mathbf{0} \; \left(\mathbf{m}_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}
ight) \;,$$

hence, a unit

$$\varepsilon = \prod_{\mu=1}^{k} \alpha_{\mu}^{m_{\mu}}$$

of *R*.

Clearly, we are in need of a method for proving the dependence/independence of the calculated units.

**Theorem** (Dobrowolsky) An element  $\alpha \in o_F$  is either a root of unity or there exists a conjugate  $\alpha^{(j)}$  of  $\alpha$  subject to

$$|\alpha^{(j)}| > 1 + \frac{1}{6} \frac{\log n}{n^2}$$

**Corollary 1** A unit  $\varepsilon \in o_F$  is either a root of unity or its image in logarithmic space satisfies

$$\parallel L(\varepsilon) \parallel_2 > \frac{21}{128} \frac{\log n}{n^2}$$

## Calculation of fundamental units

**Corollary 2** If *F* is totally real then  $\alpha \in o_F$  is either of the form  $\cos q\pi$  ( $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ ) or it has a conjugate  $\alpha^{(j)}$  subject to

$$|\alpha^{(j)}| > 2 + \frac{1}{1152} \frac{\log^2 2n}{n^4}$$

At this stage we assume that we know TU(R) as well as r independent units  $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_r$  of R. If we know an upper bound for the index of

$$U := \langle TU(R), \varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_r \rangle$$

in the full unit group U(R) then there are well known methods for enlarging U to U(R).

That index is easily seen to be

$$(U(R) : U) = \frac{d(L(U))}{d(L(U(R)))}.$$

## **Regulator bounds I**

Since d(L(U)) can be explicitly calculated it suffices to determine a lower bound for the regulator  $d(L(U(R))) = \text{Reg}_R$  in order to obtain an upper bound for that index.

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{Reg}_F & \geq & w \, \frac{(1+\gamma)(1+2\gamma)}{2} \Gamma(1+\gamma)^{r_1+r_2} \\ & \times \Gamma(3/2+\gamma)^{r_2} \, 2^{-r_1-r_2} \pi^{-r_2/2} \\ & \times \exp\left((-1-\gamma) \left( (r_1+r_2) \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} ((1+\gamma)/2) \right. \\ & \left. + r_2 \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} (1+\gamma/2) + 2/\gamma + 1/(1+\gamma) \right) \right) \, . \end{split}$$

This estimate is reasonably good for  $n \ge 6$  and for small discriminants. The values for  $\gamma$  lie in the interval ]0,1[. (Zimmert 1981)

## **Regulator bounds I**

Since d(L(U)) can be explicitly calculated it suffices to determine a lower bound for the regulator  $d(L(U(R))) = \text{Reg}_R$  in order to obtain an upper bound for that index.

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{Reg}_{F} & \geq & w \, \frac{(1+\gamma)(1+2\gamma)}{2} \Gamma(1+\gamma)^{r_{1}+r_{2}} \\ & \times \Gamma(3/2+\gamma)^{r_{2}} \, 2^{-r_{1}-r_{2}} \pi^{-r_{2}/2} \\ & \times \exp\left((-1-\gamma) \left((r_{1}+r_{2}) \frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma}((1+\gamma)/2) \right. \\ & \left. + r_{2} \frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma}(1+\gamma/2) + 2/\gamma + 1/(1+\gamma) \right) \right) \, . \end{split}$$

This estimate is reasonably good for  $n \ge 6$  and for small discriminants. The values for  $\gamma$  lie in the interval ]0,1[. (Zimmert 1981)

## **Regulator bounds II**

An upper bound:

$$\operatorname{Reg}_{F} < w \, 2^{2-r_{1}} (2\pi)^{-r_{2}} \left(\frac{be \log |d_{F}|}{n-1}\right)^{n-1} \sqrt{|d_{F}|}$$
  
for  $b = (1 + \log \pi/2 + r_{2} \log 2/n)^{-1}$ .  
(Siegel 1969)

Let F be primitive. We put  $\kappa = 4^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$  in case F is totally real, else  $\kappa = n^n$ . Then we have:

$$\operatorname{Reg}_{R} \geq \left( \left( \frac{3(\log(|d(R)|/\kappa))^{2}}{(n-1)n(n+1) - 6r_{2}} \right)^{r} \frac{2^{r_{2}}}{n\gamma_{r}^{r}} \right)^{1/2}$$

.

(P 1977)

### **Examples of regulators**

Already for real-quadratic number fields with discriminants of the same size the corresponding values of the regulators  $\text{Reg}_F$  can differ substantially:

d <sub>F</sub>	4 · 82	4 · 83	4 · 86	4 · 87
Reg <sub>F</sub>	2.8934	5.0998	9.9431	4.0250
0,				
d <sub>F</sub>	4 · 9930	4 · 9931	9933	4 · 9934
Reg <sub>F</sub>	23.8663	189.0783	5.0074	221.3672

## Computing regulator bounds I

We choose a constant  $K \ge (1+\sqrt{2})n$  and enumerate the set

$$S_{\mathcal{K}} := \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{R} \mid T_2(\alpha) < \mathcal{K} \} \\ \cup \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{R} \mid \alpha^{-1} \in \mathcal{R}, T_2(\alpha^{-1}) < \mathcal{K} \} .$$

Obviously, TU(R) is contained in  $S_K$ .

Let us also assume that  $S_K$  contains k independent units  $(0 \le k \le r)$ .

# Computing regulator bounds II

#### Next we calculate

$$M_{i}^{*} = \begin{cases} \min\{C \mid \exists \varepsilon_{1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{i} \in U(R) \cap S_{K} \\ \text{indep. with } \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log^{2} |\varepsilon_{i}^{(j)}| \leq C \} \\ \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k \\ K \quad \text{for } k+1 \leq i \leq r \end{cases}$$

and then

$$ilde{\mathcal{M}}_i := rac{n-j}{4} ext{arcosh}^2 \left( rac{\mathcal{M}_i^* - j}{n-j} 
ight) \; .$$

The rational integer j is to be chosen in the interval [0, n-2] as small as possible.

## Computing regulator bounds III

**Lemma** A unit  $\varepsilon \in U_R$  with  $T_2(\varepsilon) \ge M_i^*$  and  $T_2(\varepsilon^{-1}) \ge M_i^*$ satisfies  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log^2 |\varepsilon^{(j)}| \ge \tilde{M}_i$ 

$$\sum_{j=1} \log^2 |arepsilon^{(j)}| \geq ilde{M}_i \; .$$

From this we deduce the following lower regulator bound.

**Corollary** The regulator  $\operatorname{Reg}_R$  of the order R of F satisfies

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{Reg}}_{R} \geq ( ilde{\mathcal{M}}_{1} \cdots ilde{\mathcal{M}}_{r} 2^{r_{2}} n^{-1} \gamma_{r}^{-r})^{1/2}$$
 .

# Enlarging subgroups I

For this we need to test units of the form  $\varepsilon_0^{m_0} \cdots \varepsilon_r^{m_r}$  with  $\varepsilon_0 = \zeta$ , whether they are *p*-th powers for a prime number *p* smaller than the index of *U* in U(R).

At first, the elements  $\varepsilon_i$  are tested. If  $\varepsilon_i$  is not a *p*-th power, then the polynomial  $t^p - \varepsilon_i \in F[t]$  is irreducible.

According to the Chebotarev Density Theorem there exists a prime ideal **q** in  $o_F$  which does not contain the discriminant of F and for which  $t^p - \varepsilon_i$  remains irreducible in  $o_F / \mathbf{q}[t]$ .

# Enlarging subgroup II

The prime number p must divide  $N(\mathbf{q}) - 1$ . (Otherwise, there exists  $u \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $pu \equiv 1 \mod (N(\mathbf{q}) - 1)$  implying  $(\varepsilon_i^{q})^p = \varepsilon_i$  in  $o_F/\mathbf{q}$  in contradiction to our choice of  $\mathbf{q}$ .) It follows that p divides the order of  $\varepsilon_i$  in  $o_F/\mathbf{q}$ .

Hence, for j = i + 1, ..., r there exist unique exponents  $\nu_j \in \{0, 1, ..., p - 1\}$  such that  $\varepsilon_i^{\nu_j} \varepsilon_j$  is congruent to a *p*-th power modulo **q**.

We therefore replace the generating elements  $\varepsilon_j$  by  $\varepsilon_i^{\nu_j}\varepsilon_j$  for  $(i+1 \le j \le r)$ , i.e. we set  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i = \varepsilon_i$ ,  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j = \varepsilon_i^{\nu_j}\varepsilon_j$ .

# Enlarging subgroup III

In any equation  $\omega^p = \tilde{\varepsilon}_i^{m_i} \cdots \tilde{\varepsilon}_r^{m_r}$  the product  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{i+1}^{m_{i+1}} \cdots \tilde{\varepsilon}_r^{m_r}$  is congruent to a *p*-th power modulo **q**. Then also  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i^{m_i}$  must be a *p*-th power yielding  $m_i = 0$ .

As a consequence we need to test only, whether  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{i+1}^{m_{i+1}}\cdots\tilde{\varepsilon}_{r}^{m_{r}}$  are *p*-th powers instead of  $\varepsilon_{i}^{m_{i}}\cdots\varepsilon_{r}^{m_{r}}$ .

Applying this idea for i = 0, 1, ..., r - 1 (respectively i = 1, ..., r - 1in the case that  $\varepsilon_0$  is itself a *p*-th power) we reduce the number of necessary tests for *p*-th powers from roughly  $p^r$  to at most r + 1.

### Example I

We let  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\rho)$  with  $\rho^{19} + 2 = 0$ . The Dedekind test implies  $o_F = \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ . We put  $\omega_i := \rho^{i-1}$   $(1 \le i \le 19)$ . The discriminant of F is

 $d_F = -19^{19}2^{18} = -518630842213417245507316350976 \; .$ 

With a suitable factor basis and relations we calculate a system of independent units. The corresponding coefficient vectors are

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \varepsilon_1 &=& [-1,2,-1,-2,-6,2,-1,1,-2,-3,-2,2,1,0,-4,2,1,1]\\ \varepsilon_2 &=& [-15,6,7,-15,7,5,-13,8,2,-11,9,0,-9,9,-1,-7,8,-2,-5\\ \varepsilon_3 &=& [-45,44,-41,41,-38,38,-37,33,-35,33,-29,32,-29,\\ && 26,-29,26,-24,25,-23]\\ \varepsilon_4 &=& [-3,-6,-5,-1,8,8,1,-5,-5,-2,-2,1,4,6,0,-5,-5,-1,2]\\ \varepsilon_5 &=& [-7,4,-3,-1,4,-4,4,-1,-1,3,-5,2,0,-1,4,-3,1,-1,-2]\\ \varepsilon_6 &=& [17,-38,0,31,-18,-21,26,5,-29,8,23,-19,-13,24,\\ 1,-23,10,18,-16]\\ \varepsilon_7 &=& [9,2,-2,-2,-2,-2,-5,-5,-5,1,4,6,3,1,1,2,1,-3,-5]\\ \varepsilon_8 &=& [-19,15,9,-10,-3,-4,15,-2,-13,5,3,7,-10,-6,13,\\ -1,-4,-4,2]\\ \varepsilon_9 &=& [-91,-147,-84,21,44,-32,-109,-91,-2,58,28,-45,\\ -67,-9,60,67,11,-34,-15] \end{array}$$

# Example II

The regulator of that system of independent units is 36273616083.86579.

Via  $K = 2T_2(\omega_n)$  we obtain a lower regulator bound 433281.296, hence an upper bound of 83718 for the index.

The enlarging of the subgroup yields fundamental units

with regulator 47980973.65927 and exact index

$$(U_F : \langle -1, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_9 \rangle) = 756 = 2^2 3^3 7$$
.

#### Example of a norm equation

Let  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{10})$ . Its maximal order is  $o_F = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{10}]$  with fundamental unit  $E = 3 + \sqrt{10}$  of norm -1. The ideal  $2o_F$  is the square of the prime ideal  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{2o}_F + \sqrt{10}\mathbf{o}_F$ . We want to check, whether  $\mathbf{p}$  is principal. This is done by computing all  $\beta \in o_F$  with absolute norm 2. Hence, we need to solve

$$|x^2 - 10y^2| = 2 \ (x, y \in \mathbb{Z})$$
.

Multiplying  $\beta$  by a suitable power of E we can assume that

$$1 < x + y\sqrt{10} < E$$
 .

# Example of a norm equation (cont.)

Combining this inequality with the condition  $(x + y\sqrt{10})(x - y\sqrt{10}) = \pm 2$  we obtain lower and upper bounds for y:

$$1 \mp \frac{2}{E} < 2y\sqrt{10} < E \mp \frac{2}{E} \ .$$

Only y = 1 satisfies these inequalities. Hence, there is no solution of that norm equation.